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Abstract

Needle insertion is commonly performed in minimally invasive medical procedures such as biopsy and radiation cancer treatment. During such procedures, accurate needle tip placement is critical for correct diagnosis or successful treatment. Accurate placement of the needle tip inside tissue is challenging, especially when the target moves and anatomical obstacles must be avoided. We develop a needle steering system capable of autonomously and accurately guiding a steerable needle using two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound images. The needle is steered to a moving target while avoiding moving obstacles in a three-dimensional (3D) non-static environment. Using a 2D ultrasound imaging device, our system accurately tracks the needle tip motion in 3D space in order to estimate the tip pose. The needle tip pose is used by a rapidly exploring random tree-based motion planner to compute a feasible needle path to the target. The motion planner is sufficiently fast such that replanning can be performed repeatedly in a closed-loop manner. This enables the system to correct for perturbations in needle motion, and movement in obstacle and target locations. Our needle steering experiments in a soft-tissue phantom achieve maximum targeting errors of $0.86 \pm 0.35$ mm (without obstacles) and $2.16 \pm 0.88$ mm (with a moving obstacle).

1 Introduction

Percutaneous needle insertion into soft tissue is a component of many minimally invasive medical procedures. Percutaneous needles are used for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, including biopsy to extract tissue samples for diagnosis and brachytherapy for implanting radioactive sources into tumors for cancer treatment. These procedures are typically performed under image guidance using imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance (MR), fluoroscopy, or ultrasound. Imaging provides crucial information about the locations of the clinical target, anatomical obstacles, and the needle itself during the procedure. Accurate guidance of the needle tip is often crucial to the success of such image-guided procedures. For example, inaccurate needle tip placement may result in misdiagnosis during biopsy and unsuccessful cancer treatment during brachytherapy [Bogdanich 2009].

Needle insertion is traditionally performed using rigid needles, but recent advancements in steerable needles have the potential to enable clinicians to more accurately reach clinical targets while simultaneously avoiding anatomical obstacles [Abolhassani et al. 2007; Cowan et al. 2011]. Unlike rigid needles that are restricted to approximately straight line paths from the needle entry location to the clinical target, flexible needles with an asymmetric, bevel tip naturally move along a curve when inserted into soft tissue [Webster et al. 2006; Misra et al. 2010]. A steerable needle’s insertion trajectory can be adjusted during a procedure to improve the accuracy of reaching moving targets, e.g., target perturbations of approximately 7.0 mm are common during clinical interventions in breast tissue [Deurloo et al. 2001; Op den Buijs et al. 2011a; Op den Buijs et al. 2011b; Abayazid et al. 2012]. The ability to control a steerable needle along curved trajectories also enables these needles to reach previously inaccessible targets while avoiding anatomical obstacles, including impenetrable structures such as bones and sensitive structures such as blood vessels or nerves.

We introduce a needle steering system capable of autonomously and accurately guiding a steerable needle using two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound imaging to a moving target while avoiding a moving obstacle in three-dimensional (3D) anatomy. Ultrasound imaging is an ideal imaging modality to use during needle insertion procedures because of its low cost compared to CT and MR, and because it does not rely on ionizing radiation, which can be harmful to the patient when used in large doses during continuous CT or fluoroscopy imaging [Brenner and Hall 2007]. However, ultrasound is challenging to use for needle tracking because of its low resolution and high noise. We use a novel technique to track and steer flexible needles in 3D using 2D ultrasound images. The 2D ultrasound transducer is placed at the tissue surface perpendicular to the direction of needle insertion (Fig. 1). During needle insertion, the method automatically repositions the transducer such that the needle tip is in the imaging plane. Our method also processes the images to estimate the needle tip pose, enabling online tracking of the needle tip in 3D anatomy.

In this study, we integrate ultrasound tracking into a complete...
system capable of automatic needle steering in non-static environments in which obstacles and targets may move. The system includes a motion planner that, given the pose of the needle estimated from ultrasound, computes a feasible trajectory that optimizes a clinical criterion and steers the needle around obstacles to a target in a 3D environment. The system is capable of considering and correcting for obstacle and target motions, and perturbations in the trajectory of the needle due to real-world uncertainties. This is possible because of the motion planner which is sufficiently fast such that it can be executed in a closed-loop manner. Closed-loop planning enables the needle trajectory to be continuously updated as online feedback is obtained from ultrasound tracking. Our system provides a novel approach to controlling steerable needles in 3D under 2D ultrasound image guidance.

To the best of our knowledge, our results are the first to experimentally demonstrate a needle steering system that, (1) integrates 3D steerable needle tracking using 2D ultrasound images and 3D motion planning, and (2) successfully guides the needle to a moving target while avoiding a moving obstacle. Our system is capable of accurately placing the needle tip at the desired target location (e.g., lesion), which is essential for successful diagnosis or therapy in many clinical applications. Potential applications that could benefit from this kind of system include breast biopsy and prostate brachytherapy.

2 Related Work

Our work builds on the following two main areas of research for improving the accuracy of needle guidance in soft tissues: needle tracking and needle steering.

A key aspect of improving needle targeting accuracy is accurately tracking the needle tip during a clinical procedure, which is complicated by the limitations of medical imaging modalities. The spatial resolution of 3D ultrasound images is limited and the refresh rate of a 3D image is low [Novotny et al. 2007]. The use of x-ray-based imaging such as CT or fluoroscopy exposes the patient to high doses of ionizing radiation [Fred 2004; Brenner and Hall 2007]. MR imaging suffers from low refresh rate and incompatibility with ferromagnetic materials [DiMaio et al. 2007]. Electromagnetic position tracking sensors [Glossop et al. 2002; Abolhassani et al. 2007] can be used for 3D needle tracking, but their accuracy is sensitive to ferrous materials in the range of measurement. Further, studies by Hong et al. [2004] and Neubach and Shoham [2010] provided ultrasound-based tracking methods for needles, but motion is limited to the imaging plane. A study by Neshat and Patel [2008] used 2D ultrasound images to construct a volume, but the volume size remains limited by the available acquisition time in real-time applications. Recently, Vrooijink et al. [2013] presented a method to online track flexible needles in 3D using 2D ultrasound images. Our study expands on this technique to track and steer the needle using 2D ultrasound images in the presence of both obstacle and target motion.

Needle steering techniques and devices have been introduced that enable clinicians to improve targeting accuracy by adjusting the needle path within tissue. Such needle steering techniques and devices include bevel-tip flexible needles [Webster et al. 2006], symmetric-tip needles that can be steered by applying forces at the base [DiMaio and Salcudean 2005; Glozman and Shoham 2007], curved stylet tips [Ozakawa et al. 2005], programmable bevel-tip needles [Ko et al. 2011], and pre-bent concentric tubes [Dupont et al. 2010; Webster III and Jones 2010]. We focus on bevel-tip flexible needles. Significant advancements have been made in modeling bevel-tip steerable needles [Cowan et al. 2011]. Webster et al. [2006] developed and experimentally validated a kinematic-based model based on a unicycle. Minhas et al. [2007] showed that the curvature of the needle path can be controlled through duty cycled spinning of the needle during insertion. Misra et al. [2010] and Majewicz et al. [2012] modeled the characteristics and mechanics of steerable needles in soft-tissue phantoms and biological tissue, respectively.

There is extensive research on motion planning and control of steerable needles in a plane (2D) [Alterovitz et al. 2008; Reed et al. 2011; Asadian et al. 2011; Bernardes et al. 2013]. DiMaio and Salcudean [2005] presented a path planning algorithm that relates the needle motion at the base (outside the soft-tissue phantom) to the tip motion inside the soft-tissue phantom. Motion planners have been developed for needle steering in 3D environments with obstacles. Duindam et al. [2010] proposed a fast planner based on inverse kinematics, but which offers no completeness guarantee. Park et al. [2010] proposed a path-of-probability algorithm that considers uncertainty in needle motion using diffusion-based error propagation, but the planner is not guaranteed to be complete when obstacles are present. Several studies presented 3D path planning approaches based on Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRTs) [Xu et al. 2008; Patil and Alterovitz 2010]. These studies demonstrated results in simulations and have not been validated experimentally in 3D under closed-loop ultrasound image guidance.

Needle steering algorithms to control the needle to follow a planned path have been developed. Glozman and Shoham [2007] created an image-guided closed-loop control algorithm for steering flexible needles using fluorescent images for feedback of the needle position during insertion. Neubach and Shoham [2010] and Abayazid et al. [2013b] used ultrasound images for 2D steering. A recent study by Bernardes et al. [2013] demonstrated a robot-assisted approach for automatic steering of flexible bevel-tipped needles. The 2D needle steering method operates in closed-loop using camera images for feedback, while intraoperative trajectory replanning is used to deal with obstacles and dynamic workspaces. Another study by Abayazid et al. [2013a] uses Fiber Bragg Grating sensors for 3D closed-loop needle steering without path-planning. Hauser et al. [2009] developed a 3D feedback controller that steers the needle along a helical path, but the results were only validated in simulations. Van den Berg et al. [2010] proposed a framework for planning and Linear-quadratic-Gaussian (LQG)-based feedback control of a steerable needle under motion and sensing uncertainty, which was extended by Patil et al. [2011] for deformable workspaces. Despite these advances, prior LQG-based methods may fail due to control saturation, which is a practical concern for needle steering. Furthermore, these prior LQG-based methods cannot respond in real-time to significant perturbations that are not considered a priori.

The majority of the mentioned studies demonstrated needle steering in 2D. Even fewer studies investigated 3D steering that are also experimentally evaluated. Our study is the first to describe an ultrasound-guided 3D needle steering system capable of avoiding obstacles and reaching targets in non-static environments. This novel system effectively integrates the online ultrasound-based 3D tracking method described by Vrooijink et al. [2013] with the motion planner presented by Patil et al. [2011], which we extend in this study to execute in a closed-loop manner under ultrasound guidance. We use duty cycled spinning to achieve variable needle curvature in order to steer the flexible needle along the trajectory computed by the motion planner. The integrated system is capable of steering needles in non-static environments while compensating for uncertainties such as perturbations in needle motion and a priori unknown motions in obstacle and target locations. We experimentally evaluated the targeting accuracy of the system in static and non-static scenarios using a soft-tissue phantom.

3 Methods

In this section, we present methods to enable robot-assisted tracking and steering of flexible bevel-tipped needles. We summarize the needle tip tracking method which uses 2D ultrasound images to
estimate the pose of the needle tip during insertion. The tip pose is used in motion planning and steering, which allows the needle to be steered in a non-static environment with obstacle and target motion.

3.1 Ultrasound Image Processing

Our system processes ultrasound images to estimate the needle tip pose, which is used for needle steering. The 2D ultrasound transducer is placed perpendicular to the needle insertion axis, as shown in Fig. 1. The resulting 2D ultrasound image provides a radial cross-sectional view of the needle, which has ideally a circular shape. However, the radial cross-sectional view of the needle is deformed by an artifact known as reverberation (Fig. 2(a)). The artifact occurs when sound waves reflect repeatedly between materials with different acoustic impedances [Aldrich 2007]. The acoustic impedance difference between needle and soft tissue causes sound waves to bounce multiple times inside the needle before exiting. If the angle of the reflected sound waves are almost perpendicular to the receivers in the transducer, the reflected sound waves will produce an artifact. The artifact, often referred to as a comet tail artifact (CTA), is visible in ultrasound images and has a tail-shaped structure of equally spaced echoes along the sound wave [Huang et al. 2007]. The length of the tail-shaped structure depends on the amount of bouncing echoes that are received by the transducer.

We developed an image processing method to locate the needle centroid from the radial cross-sectional view of the needle which is affected by the CTA. Our method consists of a series of image processing techniques used to determine the needle centroid independent of the influences of the CTA. In this study, we assume that ultrasound images are properly de-warped and scaled. We first process the ultrasound images to enhance the needle using a series of basic image processing techniques, including median filtering, thresholding, and erosion and dilation in Fig. 2(b), (c) and (d), respectively. The enhanced image of the needle is used to determine the needle centroid. We apply to the enhanced image a feature extraction algorithm based on the Hough transform to compute a set of vertical line segments which describe the needle cross section and CTA. The length of each line segment must be equal or greater than the needle diameter. The algorithm then computes the mean line segment \((\overline{AB})\) of the set of vertical line segments (Fig. 2(e)). The line segment \((\overline{AB})\) describes the location and height of needle cross section and CTA under the assumption that the tail-shaped structure of the CTA is symmetric along the sound wave. Variations in the size of the tail-shaped structure are dependent on the amount of echoes that return to the transducer and affect the mean line segment at \(B\). Point \(A\) of mean line segment \((\overline{AB})\) is not affected by the CTA, and represents a point on the surface of the needle which is used to determine the needle centroid location. We estimate the needle centroid \((y_c, z_c)\) as the point on the line segment between \(A\) and \(B\) a distance equal to the radius of the needle from \(A\) (Fig. 2(f)). By positioning the transducer at the needle tip during insertion, we can estimate the needle tip position (centroid \((y_c, z_c)\)), which can be used to estimate the needle tip pose as described below.

3.2 Needle Tip Pose Estimation

The coordinate frames required to determine the needle tip pose during insertion are shown in Fig. 3. The needle is inserted in the soft-tissue phantom along the \(x\)-axis (frame \((\Psi_0)\)) with insertion velocity \((\dot{v}_i)\) using a needle insertion device (NID). The NID also enables needle rotation about the \(x\)-axis (frame \((\Psi_0)\)), which allows the needle to bend in a controlled direction. In order to determine the needle tip pose as it moves through the soft-tissue phantom, the needle tip position.

\[
p_i^0 = [p_x, p_y, p_z]^T, \tag{1}
\]

with respect to the fixed reference frame \((\Psi_0)\) is evaluated. The needle centroid \((y_c, z_c)\), describes the estimated tip frame \((\Psi_f)\) in the ultrasound image frame \((\Psi_u)\). The frames \((\Psi_u, \Psi_f)\) are considered coincident for computational simplicity. Frame \((\Psi_f)\) is attached to the positioning device end-effector, and is used to describe the transducer position with respect to fixed reference frame \((\Psi_0)\). Thus, by using coordinate transformations, the estimated needle tip position \((p_i^f)\) can be expressed in the fixed reference frame \((\Psi_0)\).

In order to estimate the needle tip position \((p_i^0)\), the ultrasound image plane must be located at the tip. Therefore, the transducer needs to be repositioned along the insertion axis (\(x\)-axis of frame \((\Psi_0)\)) according to the needle tip motion. It is assumed that the needle does not buckle during insertion. Hence, the needle tip velocity \((\|\dot{v}_i\|)\) equals to the insertion velocity \((\|v_i\|)\) at the base,

\[
\|v_i\| = \sqrt{\dot{p}_x^2 + \dot{p}_y^2 + \dot{p}_z^2}. \tag{2}
\]

This relation can be used to estimate the required transducer motion along the \(x\)-axis (frame \((\Psi_0)\)) to compensate for the needle tip motion. Thus, by rewriting (2), the required transducer motion is given by

\[
\dot{p}_x = \sqrt{\|v_i\|^2 - \dot{p}_y^2 - \dot{p}_z^2}. \tag{3}
\]
where the insertion velocity is corrected by estimated tip velocities ($\dot{p}_y$ and $\dot{p}_z$) which are the derivatives of needle tip positions ($p_y$ and $p_z$), respectively.

In order to determine the needle tip pose, orientations about the $x$-($\psi$)-, $y$-($\theta$)- and $z$-($\phi$)-axes are required. The NID controls the needle tip orientation ($\psi$) about its $x$-axis. If we assume no torsional flexibility about the needle shaft, the bevel tip orientation of the needle (about the $x$-axis of frame ($\Psi_t$)) can be determined from the NID (frame ($\Psi_n$)). The orientation of the needle about the $y$-($\theta$)- and $z$-($\phi$)-axes are computed by

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\Delta \hat{p}_y}{\Delta \hat{p}_x} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\Delta \hat{p}_z}{\Delta \hat{p}_x} \right),$$

respectively, where $\Delta \hat{p}_y$, $\Delta \hat{p}_z$ and $\Delta \hat{p}_x$ represents small displacements along the $x$-, $y$- and $z$-axes of frame ($\Psi_0$), respectively. The rotation matrix ($R^\Psi_t$) is computed using the tip orientations ($\psi$, $\theta$ and $\phi$). The tip pose is known, since position ($p^\Psi_t$) and orientation ($R^\Psi_t$) are known. Thus, the homogeneous transformation ($H^\Psi_t$) is estimated by

$$H^\Psi_t = \begin{bmatrix} R^\Psi_t & p^\Psi_t \\ 0_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which describes the estimated needle tip frame ($\Psi_t$) with respect to the reference frame ($\Psi_0$). In order to estimate the needle tip pose during insertion, we implemented a controller to accurately position the ultrasound transducer at the needle tip.

### 3.3 Ultrasound Image-Guided Controller

The ultrasound transducer is positioned at the needle tip using the controller architecture presented in Fig. 4. The needle tip position is denoted by $p$, and its corresponding time derivative representing the tip velocity is given by $\dot{p}$. Unless otherwise stated, the variables used in this subsection are expressed in the fixed reference frame ($\Psi_0$), which is not included for notational simplicity.

The transducer moves in the needle insertion direction ($x$-axis of frame ($\Psi_0$)) using a compensator according to the estimated needle tip velocity ($\dot{\hat{p}}_x$) (3). Estimation errors in the needle tip velocity ($\dot{\hat{p}}_x$) caused by sideways cutting or needle deformation results in a positioning error between transducer and needle tip along the $x$-axis (frame ($\Psi_0$)), which is considered to be the transducer aberration denoted by $\lambda$ (Fig. 3). The aberration is given by

$$\lambda = |p_x - \hat{p}_x|,$$

where $p_x$ represents the needle tip position and $\hat{p}_x$ the estimated tip position by the controller. The transducer aberration ($\lambda$) introduces an error in the estimated needle tip pose ($H^\Psi_t$),

$$H^\Psi_t = H^\Psi_0 H^\Psi_t,$$

where $H^\Psi_t \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$ represents the pose error between frames ($\Psi_t$ and $\Psi_0$), which ideally equals the identity matrix.

Closed-loop control is applied to reduce the transducer aberration ($\lambda$) that introduces the needle tip pose error ($H^\Psi_t$). This is achieved by adding a gain ($K_e$) to the estimated needle tip velocity ($\dot{\hat{p}}_x$). Thus, by scheduling of $K_e$, the transducer velocity can be increased ($K_e > 1$ to move faster than the needle) or decreased ($K_e < 1$ to move slower than the needle) when the needle is in- or out-of-plane, respectively. The velocity gain is scheduled according to

$$K_e = \begin{cases} 1.05 & \text{if needle is in-plane} \\ 0.5 & \text{if needle is out-of-plane} \end{cases},$$

where closed-loop control is achieved by estimating $K_e$ empirically. The imposed gain scheduling controller forces the transducer to move towards the needle tip, and thus, minimizes $\lambda$ and therefore minimizes the needle tip pose error ($H^\Psi_t$).

A standard proportional-derivative (PD) controller is used to control the transducer motion along the $y$-axis (frame ($\Psi_0$)),

![Figure 3: The coordinate frames used to estimate the needle tip pose: Frame ($\Psi_0$) is used as fixed reference frame located at the needle entry point. Frame ($\Psi_n$) is attached to the needle insertion device end-effector, while frame ($\Psi_p$) is located at the end-effector of the transducer positioning device. Frame ($\Psi_t$) is attached to the ultrasound image plane. Frame ($\Psi_0$) is located at the needle tip, while frame ($\Psi_i$) is fixed at the estimated needle tip location. The ultrasound transducer aberration along the needle insertion axis ($x$-axis of frame ($\Psi_0$)) is denoted by $\pm \lambda$.](image-url)
The system and measurement covariances are given according to (3). In order to provide closed-loop control, gain scheduling of $K_d$ according to (8) is applied. The discrete state-space representation of the soft-tissue phantom to provide clear ultrasound images. In order to minimize the influence of process and measurement noise on the states ($p$ and $\hat{p}$), a Kalman observer is included [Bar-Shalom et al. 2001]. The discrete state-space representation is given by $x(k+1) = Ax(k)$ and $y(k) = Cx(k)$, where $k$ is the discrete-time index, $x = [p \ \hat{p}]^T$, $C = [I \ 0]$, and $0$ and $1$ are $1 \times 3$ row vectors filled with ones and zeros, respectively, and $A = [a_0 \ a_1]$, where $a_0 = [I \ 0]^T$, $a_1 = \Delta t \ I^T$, $I$ is a $3 \times 3$ identity matrix, $0_3$ is a $3 \times 3$ matrix filled with zeros, and $\Delta t = 0.04$ sec denotes the sampling time of the Kalman observer. The system and measurement covariances are given by $Q = [q_0 \ q_1]$, where $q_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t^3 I & \Delta t^2 I \\ \Delta t^3 I & \Delta t^2 I \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $q_1 = 0.1$, respectively. A schematic representation of the Kalman observer is described in Fig. 5. Another important role of the Kalman observer is to provide state estimation when the transducer moves ahead of the needle (which results in loss of needle visibility). This allows the compensator described in Fig. 4 to reposition the ultrasound transducer according to the estimated needle tip velocity ($\dot{\hat{p}}$) (3). The uncertainty of the projected states increases over time without measurement updates. Hence, it is essential to minimize the duration of measurement absence. Upon return of measurement data, the Kalman gain ($K_d$) is adapted according to the increased uncertainty of the projected states, ensuring a decrease in estimation error.

The images of the ultrasound machine are transferred to a computer and processed at 25 frames-per-second. The controller architecture with the Kalman observer, depicted in Fig. 4, operates at 25 [Hz]. This facilitates repositioning of the ultrasound transducer in order to track the needle during insertions with velocities 1-5 [mm/s]. Higher insertion velocities could be considered, but this is associated with an increase of the aberration in transducer position. Tracking according to the proposed method was validated with maximum mean errors of $0.64 \pm 0.11$ mm, $0.25 \pm 0.06$ mm and $0.27 \pm 0.06$ mm along the $x$-, $y$- and $z$-axes, respectively. The error in tip orientations about the $y(\theta)$- and $z(\phi)$-axes are $2.68^\circ \pm 1.22$ and $2.83^\circ \pm 1.36$, respectively. We experimentally evaluated transducer position aberration using insertion velocities 1-5 [mm/s], which resulted in a mean aberration of 0.24-0.64 [mm].

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4:** An overview of the controller architecture to control the transducer motion in order to enable online three-dimensional needle tip tracking. The transducer motion along the $x$-axis (frame ($\Psi_0$)) is evaluated by the compensator using the needle insertion velocity ($v_z$) according to (3). In order to provide closed-loop control, gain scheduling of $K_d$ according to (8) is applied. The discrete state-space representation of the soft-tissue phantom is used to position the transducer according to the estimated needle tip velocity ($\dot{\hat{p}}$) (3). The uncertainty of the projected states increases over time without measurement updates. Hence, it is essential to minimize the duration of measurement absence. Upon return of measurement data, the Kalman gain ($K_d$) is adapted according to the increased uncertainty of the projected states, ensuring a decrease in estimation error.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5:** A schematic representation of the Kalman observer. During the time update, predictions of the state ($\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$) and the error covariance ($P_{k|k-1}$) are performed. Subsequently, if the needle is detected in the ultrasound image, a measurement update is performed with Kalman gain ($K_d$) and needle tip position measurement ($p_k$).

3.4 Motion Planning

We use a fast motion planner to automatically compute motions that steer the needle’s tip to a moving target while avoiding a moving obstacle in a 3D environment. Given preoperative medical images, we assume the user specifies the clinical target as well as obstacles, including sensitive structures such as glands or blood vessels and impenetrable structures such as bones. The objective of the motion planner is to quickly compute a sequence of feasible motions that steer the needle’s tip from its current pose to the target while avoiding obstacles. Our motion planner, described below, is fast enough to execute in a closed-loop manner to correct for perturbations in
Figure 6: We use closed-loop motion planning to steer the needle to a target. Given a needle tip pose and the locations of a target region and obstacles, our fast, randomized motion planner computes in the available time many feasible motion plans (left). The method selects the best plan based on clinically motivated optimization criteria such as minimizing path length or maximizing clearance from obstacles (middle). The needle insertion device then executes the first control output of the plan (right). The planner is periodically executed every $\Delta$ seconds, closing the loop. At the beginning of each period, the ultrasound system returns an estimate of the needle tip pose, and the motion planner executes for the next period while the needle insertion device executes the previously computed control output. Closed-loop motion planning enables the system to automatically steer the needle to targets in 3D environments while avoiding obstacles and correcting for perturbations in needle motion and obstacle location and target location as they occur.

At the core of our closed-loop motion planning approach is a sampling-based rapidly exploring random tree (RRT) planner [LaValle 2006] that is customized for needle steering [Patil and Alterovitz 2010], as outlined in Alg. 1.

Prior work on motion planning for steerable needles in 3D assumes a constant curvature kinematic model, which severely restricts the range of motion of the needle tip [Xu et al. 2008; Dunnham et al. 2010]. This makes it difficult for planners to compute a feasible motion plan in 3D environments with obstacles. In contrast, our planner assumes a variable curvature kinematic model that allows us to compute trajectories composed of circular arcs of bounded curvature and uses duty cycled spinning during insertion to adjust the needle’s net curvature [Minhas et al. 2007], as described in the next subsection. The planner also makes use of reachability-guided sampling for efficient expansion of the rapidly-exploring search tree to significantly improve planner performance [Shkolnik et al. 2009]. These customizations help us to achieve orders-of-magnitude reduction in computation time compared to prior sampling-based planners [Xu et al. 2008]. In this work, we extend the motion planner to operate in a closed-loop manner with ultrasound imaging feedback.

The input to the planner is the estimated needle tip pose ($H_0^t$), a target region ($T_{\text{Target}}$), and the computation time ($\Delta$) allotted for planning. The planner incrementally builds a tree ($T$) over the state space, while satisfying nonholonomic motion constraints of the needle and avoiding obstacles in the environment. To expand the tree ($T$), a random point ($p_{\text{rand}} \in \mathbb{R}^3$) is sampled from the workspace. The algorithm then identifies a node ($H_{\text{near}}$) in the tree, that is closest (i.e., minimizes distance) to the sample ($p_{\text{rand}}$). For fast performance, we use a distance metric customized for steerable needles that accounts for the needle’s nonholonomic constraint [Patil and Alterovitz 2010]. Since the needle has a natural maximum curvature ($\kappa_{\text{max}}$), not all sampled points will be reachable from a given state because of the nonholonomic constraints of the needle. The reachable set from a state $H_{\text{near}} = \left[ \frac{R_{\text{near}}}{\kappa_{\text{near}}} \frac{\alpha_{\text{near}}}{\kappa_{\text{near}}} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\text{near}}} \right]$ consists of all points that can be connected to $p_{\text{near}}$ by a circular arc that has a radius $r \geq 1/\kappa_{\text{near}}$ and is tangent to the $x_{\text{near}}$-axis of the local coordinate frame attached to the needle tip. We then define the distance metric as the length of such a circular arc connecting $p_{\text{rand}}$ and $H_{\text{near}}$ if $p_{\text{rand}}$ is in the reachable set of $H_{\text{near}}$, and infinity otherwise. This strategy restricts the search domain to only those nodes that are within the reachable set of the nearest node ($H_{\text{near}}$), thus increasing the likelihood of coverage of the state space [Shkolnik et al. 2009].

The sampled point ($p_{\text{rand}}$) can then be connected to $H_{\text{near}}$ directly using a circular arc parameterized by $[l, \phi, r]^T$, where $l$ is the arc length, $\phi$ is the change in orientation of the needle tip coordinate frame ($H_{\text{near}}$) around the $x_{\text{near}}$-axis, and $r$ is the arc radius. We limit the length ($l$) of the arcs that are added. Let $H_{\text{new}}$ be the state reached by traversing along the circular arc starting from $H_{\text{near}}$ and traveling a maximum distance of $l \leq l_{\text{max}}$. We add $H_{\text{new}}$ and the edge connecting $H_{\text{near}}$ and $H_{\text{new}}$ to the tree ($T$) if the circular arc connecting the two states is collision free. When the position $p_{\text{rand}}$ of a newly added state ($H_{\text{new}}$) is found to lie in the target region ($T_{\text{goal}}$), we extract a planned path by traversing the tree ($T$) backwards from $H_{\text{new}}$ to the root. We refer the reader to Patil et al. [2010] for further details on our RRT-based planning approach.

The output of the planner is a set of plans ($\Psi$) that can be computed in the time ($\Delta$) allotted for planning. We then select the best plan based on clinically motivated criteria such as minimizing path length or maximizing clearance from obstacles. In each period, multiple feasible motion plans are computed and a high quality plan is selected based on clinically motivated criteria. In our experiments, we minimize the length of the path (to minimize tissue cut) when no obstacles are present, and we maximize clearance from obstacles (to maximize safety) when obstacles are present.

The system executes the motion planner repeatedly during the

---

Algorithm 1

$\Psi \leftarrow$ needle\_RRT\_planner($H_0^t$, $T_{\text{Target}}$, $\Delta$)

1: $T \leftarrow$ initialize\_tree($H_0^t$)
2: $\Psi \leftarrow \emptyset$
3: while $(\text{compute\_time}() < \Delta)$ do
4: $p_{\text{rand}} \leftarrow$ random\_point\_in\_tree()
5: $H_{\text{near}} \leftarrow$ nearest\_neighbor($p_{\text{rand}}$, $T$)
6: $H_{\text{new}} \leftarrow$ circular\_arc($H_{\text{near}}$, $p_{\text{rand}}$)
7: if $(\text{collision\_free}(H_{\text{near}}, H_{\text{new}}))$ then
8: $T \leftarrow$ add\_vertex($H_{\text{new}}$)
9: $T \leftarrow$ add\_edge($H_{\text{near}}$, $H_{\text{new}}$)
10: end if
11: if $H_{\text{new}} \in T_{\text{Target}}$ then
12: $\Psi \leftarrow \Psi \cup$ extract\_plan($T$, $H_{\text{new}}$)
13: end if
14: end while
15: return $\Psi$
procedure until the target is reached (see Fig. 6). After the user specifies the environment, the planner computes an initial plan. The system then enters a closed-loop in which the planner is periodically re-executed every \( \Delta \) seconds. The closed-loop motion planner as depicted in Fig. 6 is given a fixed planning time \( \Delta \) of 0.6 sec. At the beginning of each period of duration \( \Delta \), the motion planner obtains the actual needle tip pose \( \mathbf{H}^{w}_{t} \) from the ultrasound tracking system. The NID then executes the first \( \Delta \) time of the previously computed plan. Simultaneously, the motion planner computes an updated plan that will be ready for execution in \( \Delta \) time. The new motion plan is computed from a prediction of the needle tip pose after \( \Delta \) time, where the prediction is based on the prior plan. The planner also uses the current positions of the target and the obstacles at each re-planning period. At the end of each period, the control outputs, consisting of the needle insertion and rotational velocities for the next \( \Delta \), are sent to the NID for execution, and the process is repeated till the needle reaches the target.

### 3.5 Duty Cycled Needle Steering

When a flexible bevel-tipped needle is inserted into soft tissue, the bevel tip causes the needle to follow a circular path with a radius of curvature that is approximately constant [Webster et al. 2006]. However, the planner computes a sequence of variable curvature circular arcs that steers the needle from the specified needle tip pose to the target. We approximate any curvature \( \kappa \) between 0 and the maximum natural curvature \( \kappa_{0} \) by duty cycling the rotation (spinning) of the needle [Minhas et al. 2007]. The variable curvature (duty cycling) is achieved by alternating between (I) insertion with rotations, in which the needle moves straight by rotating (spinning) at a constant velocity, and (II) insertion without rotation, in which the needle follows a path of constant curvature. Needle spinning allows us to compute the control outputs required for actuation (insertion and rotation) of the needle.

Duty cycling requires that we characterize the maximum curvature \( \kappa_{0} \) of the needle and determine the empirical relationship \( h(\kappa) \) between the curvature \( \kappa \) and the duty cycling factor \( \alpha \). We empirically determine that \( h(\kappa) \) is dependent on the mechanical properties of the needle and soft tissue, and is determined by fitting a polynomial function to the empirical data gathered during characterization experiments as described below.

Given a circular arc of desired curvature \( \kappa \), we use (9) to determine \( \alpha \). Since the needle tip preserves the same bevel tip orientation at the end of each spin interval, the duration of the spin interval is given by \( \Delta_{\text{spin}} = \frac{2k\pi}{\omega_{\text{spin}}} \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). We then compute the quantities \( \delta = (\kappa_{\text{spin}}/\alpha) \) and \( \delta_{\text{ins}} = (\delta - \kappa_{\text{ins}}) \). The low level control inputs (NID) for the insertion velocity \( v(t) \) and rotational velocity \( \omega(t) \) during a duty cycle interval are given by

\[
v(t) = v_{\text{ins}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \Delta/\delta
\]

\[
\omega(t) = \begin{cases} 
\omega_{\text{spin}} & \text{if } j\delta < t \leq j\delta + \delta_{\text{spin}} \\
0 & \text{if } j\delta + \delta_{\text{spin}} < t \leq (j+1)\delta
\end{cases}
\]

where \( v_{\text{ins}} \) is the default insertion velocity of the needle, \( j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \Delta/\delta\} \), and \( \Delta/\delta \) is the total number of duty cycle intervals required to span the duration of each replanning step \( \Delta \). This allows us to compute the control outputs required for actuation (insertion and rotation) of the needle.

In order to estimate the relationship \( (\alpha = h(\kappa)) \) (9) for the soft-tissue phantom described in Section 4, we performed repeated needle insertions up to 50 mm. We varied the value of \( \alpha \) between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.2, and computed the duration of the duty cycling interval \( \delta \) for a time interval \( \Delta = 0.6 \) sec. Given a fixed insertion velocity \( v_{\text{ins}} = 3 \) [mm/s] and rotational velocity \( \omega_{\text{spin}} = 5 \) [rotations/s], we command the actuators during each duty cycling interval with control outputs computed using (11). The application of these controls causes the needle tip to traverse a circular arc of some curvature \( \kappa \) in a plane.

In order to determine the effective curvature \( \kappa \) of the planar arc, we recorded the needle tip pose \( \mathbf{H}^{w}_{t} \) after the end of each duty cycling interval for \( N \) such intervals. We observed that the needle tip deviates from the plane because of initialization errors.

---

**Figure 7:** (a) The time duration \( \Delta \) is split into multiple (e.g., three) intervals of duration \( \delta \), each for \( \beta = 1/3 \). Each interval is then composed of two intervals: (I) a spin interval of duration \( \delta_{\text{spin}} \) given by \( \delta_{\text{spin}} = \frac{2k\pi}{\omega_{\text{spin}}} \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) in which the needle is both inserted and rotated, and (II) an insertion interval of duration \( \delta_{\text{ins}} \) in which the needle is only inserted without any rotation. (b) Characterization of the relationship \( (\alpha = h(\kappa)) \) for needle insertion in the soft-tissue phantom.

---

\[
\alpha = -4.09 \times 10^{-5} \kappa^3 + 1.41 \times 10^{-3} \kappa^2 - 185.4 \kappa + 1.04 \quad R^2 = 0.9979
\]
and other sources of uncertainty. To robustly estimate \( \kappa \), we fit a circle to the set of 3D points given by \( \mathbf{p}_t^j \in \mathbb{R}^3, t = 0, \ldots, N \). We accomplished this by first computing a best-fit plane that spanned the set of projected 2D points using a robust circle fitting algorithm [Taubin 1991]. The curvature \( \kappa \) used for our experiments. The needle achieved a maximum curvature \( \kappa \) is inserted into the soft-tissue phantom \( \mathcal{S} \). The two-dimensional ultrasound transducer \( \mathfrak{T} \) is positioned at the needle tip during insertion by a transducer positioning device \( \mathcal{P} \), which provides feedback for steering.

4 Experiments

In this section, we evaluate our 3D needle steering system described in Section 3. We experimentally evaluate the system in a soft-tissue phantom in different environments. In four experimental scenarios, we steer the needle to reach (moving) targets while avoiding (moving) obstacles.

4.1 Experimental Setup and Materials

In order to facilitate needle steering, all components of the experimental setup are integrated into a unified system (hardware and software for planning and ultrasound-guided control) in order to work together effectively. The experimental setup (Fig. 8) can be divided into two parts. First, the NID robotically inserts and rotates the needle about its axis. A telescopic sheath surrounds the needle to prevent buckling during insertion into the soft-tissue phantom. For the details of the NID we refer the reader to Roesthuis et al. [2011] and Van Veen et al. [2012]. Second, the transducer positioning device positions the 2D ultrasound transducer in 3D space. The positioning device consists of three orthogonally placed linear translation stages. The linear stages LX30, LX26 and LX20 (Misumi Group Inc., Tokyo, Japan) facilitate motion in \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \)-axes \( \psi_0 \), respectively. In order to actuate the linear stages, Maxon ECMax22 motors with GP32/22 gearheads (Maxon Motor, Sachseln, Switzerland) are used. The velocity of each stage is controlled by an Elmo Whistle 2.5/60 motor controller (Elmo Motion Control Ltd, Petach-Tikva, Israel). The positioning accuracies of the device are 27 \( \mu \text{m} \), 35 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 41 \( \mu \text{m} \) along the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \)-axes, respectively. The ultrasound transducer is mounted to the positioning device end-effector using a perfectly fitting clamp.

The ultrasound images are obtained using an 18 MHz transducer connected to a Siemens Acuson S2000 ultrasound machine (Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). The ultrasound machine is linked to a computer using an S-video cable that transfers the images \( (720 \times 576 \text{ pixels}) \) with a frame rate of 25 frames per second. The ultrasound images are used to track the needle during insertion into a soft-tissue phantom. The soft-tissue phantom is obtained by a weight based mixture of 84.1\% water, 14.9\% gelatin powder (Dr.Oetker, Ede, The Netherlands) and 1.0% silica gel 63 (E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The elasticity of the gelatin mixture used to mimic human breast tissue is 35 kPa (Young’s Modulus) [Gigen and Dilmonney 2007]. Silica powder is added to the mixture to simulate the acoustic scattering of human tissue in ultrasound images [Cook et al. 2011]. The flexible needle, which is a solid wire, is made of Nitinol alloy (nickel and titanium). The Nitinol needle has a diameter of 0.5 mm with a bevel angle (at the tip) of 30\(^\circ\).

4.2 Experimental Scenarios

To evaluate the performance of our system that integrates ultrasound-based needle tracking and motion planning algorithms, we conducted experiments in which our system steers the needle to a target (e.g., lesion) in 3D non-static environments while avoiding obstacles. We evaluate our system’s targeting accuracy in four experimental scenarios (Fig. 9). We execute our system ten times for each experimental scenario. The needle insertion and rotational velocities used during the experiments are 3 [mm/s] and 5 [rotations/s], respectively. In our experiments we used virtual obstacles and targets. The target and obstacle locations are expressed in \( (x \text{ [mm]}, y \text{ [mm]}, z \text{ [mm]} \text{-coordinates of the fixed reference (frame } \psi_0)\).

- In Scenarios I-A, -B, -C and -D, the needle is steered to reach a moving target at four different locations (A, B, C and D), respectively.
- In Scenario II, the needle is steered to reach a stationary target while avoiding a stationary obstacle.
- In Scenario III, the needle is steered to reach a moving target while avoiding a stationary obstacle.
- In Scenario IV, the needle is steered to reach a moving target while avoiding a moving obstacle.

The initial target locations for experimental Scenarios I-A, -B, -C and -D are \( (100,-20,10), (100,20,10), (100,-20,-10) \) and \( (100,20,-10) \), respectively. The initial target location for experimental Scenarios II-IV is \( (100,-10,-10) \). The target depth of 100 mm is within the range of typical biopsy depths of lesions and tumors (retroperitoneal) which are approximately 35 – 115 mm [Tomozawa et al. 2011]. The target size is 2 mm, which is

Figure 8: The experimental setup used to track and steer a flexible needle to reach a target while avoiding an obstacle. The needle, which is controlled at its base (inset) by a needle insertion device \( \mathcal{I} \) is inserted into the soft-tissue phantom \( \mathcal{S} \). The two-dimensional ultrasound transducer \( \mathfrak{T} \) is positioned at the needle tip during insertion by a transducer positioning device \( \mathcal{P} \), which provides feedback for steering.
currently the smallest detectable size of a lesion in a breast mammography [Onuigbo et al. 2001]. Target motion is simulated in Scenarios I, III and IV to investigate the effects of target motion on the needle steering accuracy. During insertion, the needle exerts a force on the target (in the positive \( x \)-axis of frame \( \Psi_0 \)), which causes target motion. After 20 seconds of needle insertion, the target starts to move with a constant velocity of 0.4 mm/s in the positive \( x \)-axis (frame \( \Psi_0 \)) until the needle reached the target. The simulated target motion results in a displacement of approximately 7.0 mm, which is commonly observed during clinical interventions in breast tissue [Deurloo et al. 2001; Op den Buijs et al. 2011a; Op den Buijs et al. 2011b; Abayazid et al. 2012; Vos 2013].

In order to evaluate the maneuverability of the needle during steering, an obstacle is positioned in the direct path of the needle to reach the target (Scenarios II-IV). The proposed obstacle has a cylindrical shape (e.g., bone) with a 20 mm diameter, and is located (center of the cylinder) at \((60,4,0)\). Obstacle motion is introduced in Scenario IV to simulate the non-static behavior of organs which constantly move. During the first 10 seconds of needle insertion, the obstacle moves with a constant velocity of 0.3 mm/s in the negative \( y \)-axis (frame \( \Psi_0 \)) (towards the needle path). After 10 seconds, obstacle motion is stopped and a total displacement of 3 mm is achieved.

### 4.3 Experimental Results

The experimental results of Scenarios I-IV are provided in Table 1. A representative result for each of the Scenarios I-A, -B, -C and -D, II, III and IV are given in Fig. 10(a), (b) (c) and (d), respectively. After the needle is steered to reach the target, its final estimated needle tip location from ultrasound tracking is evaluated with respect to the target location. The mean absolute errors (MAE) with standard deviations in the needle tip position from ultrasound tracking is evaluated with respect to the target location. The mean absolute errors (MAE) with standard deviations in the needle tip position from ultrasound tracking.

**Figure 9:** Experimental needle steering scenarios: (a) The needle is steered to a moving spherical target at four different locations: A, B, C and D in Scenarios I-A, -B, -C and -D, respectively. (b) The needle is steered around a cylindrical-shaped obstacle (e.g., bone) which is in the direct path of the needle to reach a spherical target (Scenarios II-IV). In Scenario II, the needle is steered to reach a stationary target while avoiding a stationary obstacle. In Scenario III, the needle is steered to reach a moving target while avoiding a stationary obstacle. In Scenario IV, the needle is steered to reach a target in an environment with obstacle and target motion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>( \epsilon_x ) [mm]</th>
<th>( \epsilon_y ) [mm]</th>
<th>( \epsilon_z ) [mm]</th>
<th>MAE [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.18±0.13</td>
<td>0.51±0.37</td>
<td>0.59±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.05±0.03</td>
<td>0.46±0.35</td>
<td>0.21±0.27</td>
<td>0.54±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.05±0.03</td>
<td>0.53±0.40</td>
<td>0.57±0.44</td>
<td>0.82±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.05±0.03</td>
<td>1.63±1.06</td>
<td>0.53±0.66</td>
<td>1.76±1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>( \epsilon_x ) [mm]</th>
<th>( \epsilon_y ) [mm]</th>
<th>( \epsilon_z ) [mm]</th>
<th>MAE [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Targeting errors for ultrasound needle tracking and steering experiments (Scenarios I-IV). Mean absolute errors (MAE) for needle tip targeting along \( x-(\epsilon_x)\), \( y-(\epsilon_y)\) and \( z-(\epsilon_z)\) axes (frame \( \Psi_0 \)) are provided. The targeting errors are evaluated using the estimated needle tip pose from ultrasound tracking. For each scenario, MAE for targeting accuracy are evaluated. Each experiment is conducted ten times.
targeting errors less than 1 mm. Note, that the smallest detectable lesions in breast mammography are reported to be 2 mm [Onuigbo et al. 2001], with most lesions close to 11 mm in diameter [Güth et al. 2008]. Therefore, the proposed tracking and steering method is capable of targeting the smallest detectable lesions in scenarios without obstacles in applications such as breast biopsy. We observe that absence of an obstacle (Scenarios I-A, I-B, I-C, and I-D) results in better targeting accuracy as compared to the other scenarios. This could be attributed to the fact that once the needle is steered around the obstacle according to a computed motion plan (Scenarios II-IV), the maneuverability of the needle and its ability to correct for perturbations is constrained. Hence, the range of feasible motion plans to the target diminishes due to the obstacle. Further, the decrease in performance (Scenarios II-IV) may be due to torsional flexibility of the needle, which results in needle tip pose estimation errors ($H^τ$) errors. We observe better targeting accuracy in Scenario III (stationary obstacle and moving target) as compared to Scenario II (both stationary obstacle and target). A possible explanation is that once the needle is steered around the obstacle, the motion planner has more options and more time to correct for uncertainties when the target moves away from the obstacle. However, as observed in Scenario IV, the presence of obstacle motion results in decreased targeting accuracy. This can be explained by the reduced range of motion plans which are feasible due to the substantial target and obstacle motion, especially when obstacle motion reduces the space of feasible paths that reach the target. Nonetheless, even in the challenging scenario of target and obstacle motion, our method still achieves errors that are typically at or below 2.16 mm.

Experienced clinicians inserting radioactive seeds into the prostate gland for brachytherapy prostate cancer treatment experience average placement errors of 6.3 mm, about 15% of the prostate’s diameter [Taschereau et al. 2000]. Further, a study by Blumenfeld et al. [2007] concluded that prostate biopsies using rigid needles performed by experienced clinicians show average targeting errors between 5.5 – 6.5 mm. We evaluate our system using soft-tissue phantoms which leads to several simplifications as opposed to studies in biological tissue. Nonetheless, our method demonstrates needle steering that appears comparable to an experienced clinician in terms of accuracy while providing new obstacle avoidance capabilities. This indicates that our system, with further development, is on track to be applicable to wide class of clinical applications.

5 Conclusions and Future Work
We present and evaluate a needle steering system capable of autonomously and accurately guiding a steerable needle to a target in a non-static 3D environment using 2D ultrasound images. Our system achieves high accuracy in non-static environments by effectively integrating two major components: a safe, ultrasound-based tracker of the needle pose and a fast needle motion planner that reacts to perturbations in target and obstacle locations. To accurately track the needle tip, we use a clinically-available 2D ultrasound transducer which is orientated perpendicular to the direction of insertion and does not require that the procedure be performed in a single plane. The system estimates the needle tip pose by controlling the position of the ultrasound transducer to obtain images at the the needle tip and using image processing algorithms. The needle tip pose is used in motion planning to compute feasible paths that reach a target while avoiding an obstacle. The planner is sufficiently fast such that the system can repeatedly execute it as new tip pose estimates are obtained from the ultrasound tracker, enabling the system to compensate for uncertainties in steering and to correct for perturbations in obstacle and target locations. In experiments in which the targets moves approximately 7 mm over the course of the procedure (which is typical in breast biopsies), the system achieves low MAE of 0.86 mm (without obstacles) and 2.16 mm (with a moving obstacle).

In future work, we will build on this system and investigate additional avenues to reduce needle placement errors in non-static tissue environments while avoiding anatomical obstacles. We plan to investigate the effects of variations in tissue elasticity on needle curvature (the empirical relationship $\alpha = h(s)$ in Section 3.5) and to incorporate methods that compensate for torsional flexibility of the needle. Further, we will also investigate improving targeting accuracy and reducing tissue damage by integrating different needle steering methods with motion planning [Abayazid et al. 2013b]. In order to provide a more realistic testing scenario, needle steering in biological tissue, including tracking of real targets, will be investigated. Our ultrasound-based tip tracking algorithm evaluates the needle location that is affected by CTA. Some preliminary research indicates that artifacts such as CTA are also observed in biological tissue, although effort is required to properly correct for distortions such as warping that are often observed in ultrasound images of biological tissue. So with some modifications, we be-
believing our proposed ultrasound-guided tracking and control method would be applicable to biological tissue. In addition to experiments in biological tissue, advancements in instrument design need to be made to enable biopsies with flexible needles. Further, we are currently adapting our transducer positioning device to move on curved surfaces using additional degrees of freedom and a force sensor to maintain transducer-tissue contact. While we aim to continue to improve the system, our current study demonstrates the feasibility and potential of tracking and steering flexible needles, which has clinical applications for procedures such as breast and prostate biopsies and brachytherapy.

Appendix A: Index to Multimedia Extension
The multimedia extension to this article is at:
http://www.ijrr.org

Table 2: Table of Multimedia Extension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extension</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Video</td>
<td>This video demonstrates some representative results (Scenarios I-A and IV) of three-dimensional needle steering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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